



Welcome to TIM Talks: Chronic Care Management (CCM) - Moving from Planning to Implementation June 22, 2017







CCM in the Market place

- Customers for CCM Services:
 - Health Systems that want to reduce readmissions
 - ACOs
 - Health System-Owned Physician Practices
 - Multi-Specialty Practices
 - Physician Groups participating in bundled payment

Identify the Win-Win

- Provider
 - Additional care management resources to support the patient population
 - Extending disease self-management and lifestyle changing efforts beyond the clinic to the community
 - Support to address MIPS, ACO Measures, and Cost containment
- CBO
 - Profit center within my organization
 - Direct referral source for evidence-based programs
 - Best practice model that can be replicated to other customers in the market

Target Population: Payer

- Beneficiaries with Medicare Part B:
 - Emphasis on Dual Eligible Beneficiaries
 - Data shows significant increase in cost and disease burden associated with dual-eligible status
 - Emphasis on persons with four or more chronic conditions
 - Data shows significant increase in costs for any beneficiary with four or more chronic conditions
- Medicare Advantage Beneficiaries:
 - Emphasis on persons receiving a low-income subsidy
 - CMS study shows direct correlation between low-income subsidy status and performance on HEDIS measure outcome

Target Population: Disease Groups

- Diseases that are impacted by lifestyle choices
 - Diabetes
 - Heart Disease / Heart Failure
- Populations impacted by social determinants of health
 - Low-Income
 - Minority
 - Rural
- Populations that have a primary or secondary diagnosis of depression
 - WHO: Depression is the leading cause of disability worldwide

Pilot the Process

- Identify One Provider within the organization to test the process
 - Seek out a champion
 - Develop a model of sharing clinical data
 - Establish a process of targeting the participants
 - CBO and Provider gain knowledge of the culture of the business
 - Embed a health coach within the practice

Key Factors

- Business Associate Agreement
- HIPAA Training of embedded personnel
- HIPAA Training for CBO staff supporting the project
- Health IT system
- Data exchange
- Bi-directional referral patterns
- Person-Centered Planning Process

Financial Considerations

- Total number of eligible patients
- Mutual expectations regarding referral volume
- Break-even point
- Charge capture process
- Revenue cycle management

Tracking Performance: Establish Mutual Expectations

• Financial

- Number of enrolled clients
- Services provided per beneficiary per month
- Billing generated per coach
- Aggregate billing per beneficiary per month
- Aggregate billing per population
- Administrative Fees
- Billing cycle
- Invoice timeline

Outstanding

Tracking Performance: Establish Mutual Expectations

- Clinical
 - Primary diagnosis
 - Depression status
 - Malnutrition
 - Readiness for change
 - Need for social services
 - Homebound status
 - Dual-eligible status
 - Medicaid Waiver enrollment / Managed LTSS

Jutstanding

- OAA services
- Veteran Status
 - VA Choice / VD-HCBS

Embedded Health Coach Qualifications

- Develop a personnel file for each Coach
 - Job Description / Resume
 - Background check
 - Training
 - HIPAA / Confidentiality statement
 - TB testing / MMR / Flu
- Recommended Training
 - HIPAA
 - Motivational Interviewing
 - Disease Self-Management skills
 - Abuse, Neglect, Exploitation / Mandatory Reporting

Key Practice Personnel

- Embedded Health Coach and the Program Administrator must establish a relationship with the following key personnel:
 - Practice Manager
 - Front Desk Personnel
 - Scheduler
 - Practice Care Coordinator
 - Billing Staff

Health Coach Routine - Prep

- Review the schedule for the week
 - Appointment Reason
 - Payer / Insurance Status
 - Co-insurance (Medicaid, AARP / UHC, etc.)
- Daily assess the schedule for changes
- Coordinate with Practice Care Coordinator to target
 patients based on the schedule
- Report the daily list of potential clients to enroll

Health Coach Routine – Daily Operations

- Coordinate with front desk to greet clients prior to their provider appointment
- Introduce the program using defined script agreed upon by the practice administrator and the CBO
- Assess consumer willingness to participate
- Support the development of a person-centered plan
- Report the enrollment and person-centered plan to the provider
- Obtain endorsement from the provider for client
 engagement
- Document
 - Person-Centered Plan
 - Varhal Concept

Person-Centered Planning Key Elements

- Person-Centered Plan should span 12 Months
- Needs
 - Medical
 - Social
 - Psycho-social
- Interventions
 - Preventive Health appt. schedule
 - Evidence-based programs
 - Individual Health Coaching
- Cultural Factors impacting health
- Social Determinants



Health Coach Routine – End of Day

- Record number of enrolled compared with potential eligible
- Compare enrollment to daily enrollment quota target
- Record financial and clinical quality measures
 - Primary Diagnosis
 - Depression Status
 - Dual-Eligible Status
 - Veteran Status
 - Social Service Needs
 - Zip Code or residence
 - Enrollment in Medicaid Waiver / Managed LTSS

CBO Administrator Tasks - Administrative

- Daily assess status of meeting enrollment goals
- Identify barriers to enrollment and discuss them with the practice manager
- Assess the demographics of the population enrolled to determine if the program is reaching the target population
- Assess for monthly services provided to each beneficiary
- Monitor enrollment in programs provided by the CBO
 - Evidence-based programs
 - OAA Services
 - Senior Center Programs
 - Social Service Programs

CBO Administrator Tasks - Financial

• Monitor billing

- Billing per beneficiary
- Aggregate billing for the program
- Billing compared to costs
- Reconciled billing
- Revenue-Cycle timeline
- Daily enrollments
- Productivity of embedded coach
- Cost of the embedded coach compared to enrollment numbers

12-Month CCM Service Plan

- In-Home Assessment to determine risk
 - Assess for Fall Risks
 - Review Medications and document findings
 - OTC
 - Use of Herbal Medication
 - Adherence to Prescribed Medication Regimen
- Enrollment in scheduled Evidence-based programs
- Preventive Health appt. schedule
- Coordination with specialists

12-Month CCM Service Plan – Evidence-Based Programs

- Person-Centered Plan should support the person with completing all applicable evidence-based programs
- Health Coaching model supports the consumer with completion of planned participation in evidence-based programs
- Health coaching and individual participation in monthly evidence-based programs must be documented for each individual consumer – even if participant attends a group intervention

Sample Plan Using Evidence-based Interventions

- 68 y/o female. Widow and lives alone. Dual-Eligible.
 Dx Diabetes, CHF, Depression. Not receiving Medicaid wavier. Hx of recent fall
 - Enhanced Wellness Evidence-Based Health Coaching Program
 - Fall Prevention Program (Matter of Balance, Stepping On, etc.)
 - In-Home assessment for fall-risk and medication review
 - CDSMP
 - PEARLS Depression management
 - Enrollment in Medicaid Waiver for home-delivered meals and adult day-health
 - Coordination of transportation to a DSMT / MNT class
 - MNT / DSMT will be billed separately from CCM

CCM / Behavioral Health Integration

- CCM and Behavioral Health Integration services can be billed on the same consumer, during the same month
- Eligibility for Behavioral Health Integration
 - Any Behavioral Health Condition
 - WHO: Depression is the leading cause of disability worldwide
 - Depression is a common co-morbidity of diabetes and heart disease
- Services must be documented separately for each intervention

Track Value-Added Benefits to the Practice

- Adherence to Medical Office Visit Prevention Schedule
 - Four Preventive Health Visits per year + sick visits (\$\$\$)
 - Annual Wellness Visit (\$175 first year, \$99 thereafter)
 - Health Coach can support completion of Annual Wellness
 Visits
- CCM Support of Tele-Health Services
 - Geographic Restrictions on Tele-Health are lifted for the following beneficiaries:
 - Participants in a bundled payment program, during the episode of care
 - Consumers in a Next Generation ACO

Is there a Bundled Payment Program Near You?



Source: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

Background



CCM Implementation:

CONTRACT MODELS

Outstanding

Contract Models

- <u>Model #1:</u> Clinical Integration with one individual Medicare Provider
- <u>Model #2:</u> Contract with a organization that can bill Medicare and support multiple providers in a market
- <u>Model #3:</u> Become a direct Medicare provider with the appropriate infrastructure to deliver CCM services

MODEL #1: CLINICAL INTEGRATION WITH ONE INDIVIDUAL MEDICARE PROVIDER

Outstanding

Contract Model

- Individual Contract with an individual provider / group practice to provide CCM services as a third-party care management organization
- Contract defines the services, cost of services, and expected payment schedule
 - Admin costs should be limited to 10% or less
- CBO provides the services and documents in the E.H.R of the provider
- Provider submits claims to insurance
- Provider and CBO reconcile with the collections department on a regular schedule.

Pros vs Cons

• Pros

- Ability to quickly start
- Ready source of consumers
- Sets precedence and marketing material for other practices
- Cons
 - Agreement is limited to one individual provider and will require separate agreements with every other individual provider
 - Embedded Health Coaches and staff will have to learn and adopt the E.H.R for each contracted provider
 - Increased administrative costs to manage and track service multiple systems and multiple providers

Financial Considerations

- Agreement limited to one practice and the billing is limited to that provider's capacity
- Track claims submission, denials, aging report
- Increased administrative costs to the CBO if this contract model is replicated to multiple practices in the market
- Training costs associated with staff use of multiple IT systems

MODEL #2: CONTRACT WITH A ORGANIZATION THAT CAN BILL MEDICARE AND SUPPORT MULTIPLE PROVIDERS IN A MARKET

Contract Model

- Contract with an established Medicare Provider or Management Service Organization (MSO) that has the capacity to work with multiple providers in the market
- MSO should provide
 - E.H.R platform that integrates with multiple providers

utstanding

- Revenue Cycle Management
- Reporting / Population Health Mgmt.
- MSO will take an administrative fee
 - Range is 20% 45%
 - MSO accepts the risk, liability, and IT costs

Pros vs Cons

• Pros

- Ability to integrate with multiple providers in the market using one IT system platform
- Risk and liability are borne by the MSO
- Lower administrative costs related to the use of one IT platform, one tracking system, one claims management process, and one reporting venue
- Cons
 - Increased costs associated with the MSO fee
 - MSO must be flexible and agreeable to modify IT platform to meet the needs of the provider and CBO

Financial Considerations

- MSO entity provides at least one qualifying visit with the beneficiary
 - Annual Wellness Visit
 - TCM
 - In-home primary care / Preventive Health visit
- MSO enrolls the consumer
- CBO supports the completion of the person-centered plan
- CBO implements the person-centered plan
- CBO and MSO work collaboratively to increase client compliance with primary and specialty care appts. and preventive health appt. schedule

MODEL #3: BECOME A DIRECT MEDICARE PROVIDER WITH THE APPROPRIATE INFRASTRUCTURE TO DELIVER CCM SERVICES

Contract Model

- CBO becomes the provider
- CBO bears 100% of the risk and costs of the program
- CBO keeps 100% of collections
- CBO must establish a process to complete a qualifying visit for each consumer and have the appropriate personnel that are eligible to deliver the qualifying visit

Pros Vs Cons

• Pros

- CBO keeps 100% of collections
- CBO does not have to negotiate with third parties for program
- CBO can immediately target current client base for services
- Cons
 - CBO bears 100% of the risk and liability
 - CBO must establish a process for revenue-cycle management
 - CBO must bear 100% of the costs of the Health IT system and system integration costs
 - Higher upfront costs and risk to the CBO
 - Lack of economies of scale

Financial Considerations

- Malpractice liability
- Cyber Insurance
- Health IT system costs
- Revenue-cycle management costs
- HIPAA compliance
- Referral sources
- IT integration with providers in the marketplace